

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,349	MOLLER, CHRISTIAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PUNAM PATEL	2855	

All Participants:

(1) Punam Patel (PTO).

(2) Robert Lampe (Applicant's Representative).

Date of Interview: 5 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd

Claims discussed:

1, 6-11, 12, 14, 15, 16, and 18.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30pm

/Lisa M. Caputo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2855

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd issues with the claims and/or claim objections. Examiner suggested possible claim amendments which would place the application in condition for allowance. See Office Action enclosed herewith for a listing of the 112 2nd rejections and/or claim objections. No agreement was reached.